

Reflecting Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes on pinned path spaces

By

Masanori HINO* and Hiroto UCHIDA**

Abstract

Consider a set of continuous maps from the interval $[0, 1]$ to a domain in $\mathbb{R}^d$. Although the topological boundary of this set in the path space is not smooth in general, by using the theory of functions of bounded variation (BV functions) on the Wiener space and the theory of Dirichlet forms, we can discuss the existence of the surface measure and the Skorokhod representation of the reflecting Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process associated with the canonical Dirichlet form on this set.

§1. Introduction

In [7], Hariya obtained an integration by parts formula on a subset of the pinned path space on $\mathbb{R}^d$, which is a partial generalization of the work by Zambotti [12]. To state it more precisely, let Ω be a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^d$. We assume that the boundary of Ω is sufficiently smooth. Take $a, b \in \Omega$ and define the path spaces as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} W_{a,b} &= \{w \in C([0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d) \mid w(0) = a, w(1) = b\}, \\ W_{a,b}^\Omega &= \{w \in C([0, 1] \rightarrow \Omega) \mid w(0) = a, w(1) = b\}, \\ H_0 &= \left\{ h \in C([0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d) \left| \begin{array}{l} h(0) = h(1) = 0, \text{ } h \text{ is absolutely continuous} \\ \text{and } \int_0^1 |\dot{h}(s)|_{\mathbb{R}^d}^2 ds < \infty \end{array} \right. \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification(s): 60J60, 31C25, 28C20.

Key Words: Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process, Dirichlet forms, BV function, Wiener space.

The first author is partly supported by the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology, Grant-in-Aid for Encouragement of Young Scientists, 18740070.

*Graduate School of Informatics, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606-8501, Japan.

**Minato-ku, Tokyo 105-0004, Japan.

We regard $W_{a,b}^\Omega$ as a subset of $W_{a,b}$. The topological boundary $\partial W_{a,b}^\Omega$ of $W_{a,b}^\Omega$ with respect to the uniform topology is given by

$$\partial W_{a,b}^\Omega = \left\{ w \in W_{a,b} \left| \begin{array}{l} w(t) \in \overline{\Omega} \text{ for every } t \in [0, 1] \text{ and} \\ w(s) \in \partial\Omega \text{ for some } s \in (0, 1) \end{array} \right. \right\},$$

where $\overline{\Omega}$ and $\partial\Omega$ denote the closure and the boundary of Ω in $\mathbb{R}^d$, respectively. We define a subset $\partial' W_{a,b}^\Omega$ of $\partial W_{a,b}^\Omega$ by

$$\partial' W_{a,b}^\Omega = \{w \in \partial W_{a,b}^\Omega \mid \text{there exists a unique } s \in (0, 1) \text{ such that } w(s) \in \partial\Omega\}.$$

Let $\mu_{a,b}$ be the pinned Wiener measure on $W_{a,b}$. For a smooth cylindrical function F on $W_{a,b}$ and $h \in H_0$, Hariya [7] proved the identity

$$(1.1) \quad \int_{W_{a,b}^\Omega} \partial_h F(w) \mu_{a,b}(dw) = \int_{W_{a,b}^\Omega} F(w) \langle h, w \rangle \mu_{a,b}(dw) + (\text{BC}),$$

where ∂_h is the partial derivative along the direction of h , $\langle h, w \rangle$ denotes the Wiener integral $\int_0^1 h(s) dw(s)$, and (BC) is the ‘‘boundary contribution,’’ which is expressed as an integral over $\partial W_{a,b}^\Omega$. The explicit expression of (BC) is provided in [7]. In this study, we provide only the following remarks on (BC).

- (a) The mass of the measure on $\partial W_{a,b}^\Omega$ appearing in the integral representation of (BC) concentrates on $\partial' W_{a,b}^\Omega$.
- (b) The integrand in (BC) contains the normal derivatives of the heat kernel density on Ω at $\partial\Omega$ with the Dirichlet boundary condition.

The integration by parts formula (1.1) implies that the indicator function $1_{W_{a,b}^\Omega}$ of $W_{a,b}^\Omega$ is a BV function, and we can construct the reflecting Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process on $W_{a,b}^\Omega$ with the Skorokhod representation (cf. Section 2 below). On the other hand, property (b) above imposes on the strong regularity of Ω since we cannot expect the normal derivatives of the heat kernel density to exist at the boundary if $\partial\Omega$ is not very smooth. If we are only interested in the probabilistic aspect, it is sufficient to prove that $1_{W_{a,b}^\Omega}$ is a BV function; in other words, even if we do not know the explicit expression of (BC), only proving the validity of the integration by parts is sufficient. This is expected to be done under a milder assumption on Ω since such a claim can be proved only by a series of inequalities and not by equalities. This is the objective of this paper.

In this paper, we introduce the concept of the *uniform exterior ball condition* for Ω , which allows some singularity at $\partial\Omega$, and prove that $1_{W_{a,b}^\Omega}$ is a BV

function under such a condition. Based on this, we can construct the reflecting Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process on the closure of $W_{a,b}^\Omega$ and prove its Skorokhod representation. Further, we prove that the mass of the measure on $\partial W_{a,b}^\Omega$ appearing in the Skorokhod representation concentrates on $\partial' W_{a,b}^\Omega$, which is consistent with property (a) above. The proof is based on the quantitative estimates of Brownian motion on $\mathbb{R}^d$, and the method is different from that used in [7]. We expect that our method is sufficiently flexible to discuss more general situations.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we provide a framework and state the main theorem. Some key estimates for the Brownian motion on $\mathbb{R}^d$ are proved in Section 3. These estimates are obtained by reducing them to a few detailed estimates of a one-dimensional Brownian motion with a constant drift. The main theorem is proved in Section 4. In the last section, we provide a few remarks.

§2. Framework and the main result

First, we recall the concept of the BV functions on the Wiener space, according to [5]. Let (E, H, μ) be an abstract Wiener space, that is, E is a separable Banach space, H is a separable Hilbert space densely and continuously embedded in E , and μ is a Gaussian measure on E that satisfies the condition

$$\int_E \exp(\sqrt{-1}l(z)) \mu(dz) = \exp(-|l|_H^2/2), \quad l \in E^*.$$

Here, $*$ denotes the topological dual and we use natural inclusions and an identification $E^* \subset H^* \cong H \subset E$. When M is a separable Hilbert space, $L^p(E \rightarrow M)$ denotes the L^p -space on E with respect to μ which consists of M -valued functions. When $M = \mathbb{R}$, we omit M from the notation. Let $C_b^1(\mathbb{R}^m)$ be the set of all bounded continuous functions f on $\mathbb{R}^m$ such that all the first-order partial derivatives of f are bounded and continuous. Define

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}C_b^1 &= \left\{ u: E \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \left| \begin{array}{l} u(z) = f(l_1(z), \dots, l_m(z)), \quad l_1, \dots, l_m \in E^*, \\ f \in C_b^1(\mathbb{R}^m) \text{ for some } m \in \mathbb{N} \end{array} \right. \right\}, \\ (\mathcal{F}C_b^1)_{E^*} &= \left\{ G: E \rightarrow E^* \left| \begin{array}{l} G(z) = \sum_{i=1}^m u_i(z) l_i, \quad l_1, \dots, l_m \in E^*, \\ u_1, \dots, u_m \in \mathcal{F}C_b^1 \text{ for some } m \in \mathbb{N} \end{array} \right. \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

For $u \in \mathcal{F}C_b^1$, an H -valued function ∇u on E is given by the following identity:

$$\langle \nabla u(z), l \rangle_H = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} (u(z + \varepsilon l) - u(z)) / \varepsilon, \quad l \in E^* \subset H, \quad z \in E.$$

Let ∇^* be a (formal) adjoint operator of ∇ , which is defined by the following relation:

$$\langle \nabla^* G, u \rangle_{L^2(E)} = \langle G, \nabla u \rangle_{L^2(E \rightarrow H)}, \quad u \in \mathcal{FC}_b^1.$$

We define the set of BV functions on E as

$$BV(E) = \left\{ \rho: E \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \left| \begin{array}{l} \int_E |\rho| \max\{0, \log |\rho|\}^{1/2} d\mu < \infty \text{ and there exists} \\ C \geq 0 \text{ such that } \left| \int_E (\nabla^* G) \rho d\mu \right| \leq C \|G\|_H \|G\|_{L^\infty(E)} \\ \text{for all } G \in (\mathcal{FC}_b^1)_{E^*} \end{array} \right. \right\}.$$

We shall now revisit several properties of BV functions on E .

Theorem 2.1 ([5, Theorems 3.7, 3.9]). *For $\rho \in L^1(E)$, the following are equivalent conditions.*

- (1) $\rho \in BV(E)$.
- (2) *There exists a sequence $\{\rho_n\}$ in $\mathbb{D}^{1,1} := \overline{\mathcal{FC}_b^1}^{\|\nabla \cdot\|_{L^1(E \rightarrow H)} + \|\cdot\|_{L^1(E)}}$ such that ρ_n converges to ρ in $L^1(E)$ and $\|\nabla \rho_n\|_{L^1(E \rightarrow H)}$ is bounded in n .*
- (3) *(Integration by parts formula) There exist, a finite Borel measure ν and an H -valued function σ on E such that $|\sigma|_H = 1$ ν -a.e. and*

$$\int_E (\nabla^* G) \rho d\mu = \int_E \langle G, \sigma \rangle_H d\nu, \quad G \in (\mathcal{FC}_b^1)_{E^*}.$$

Theorem 2.2 ([5, Theorem 4.2]). *Let $\rho \in BV(E)$ and assume $\rho \geq 0$ μ -a.e. Let S be the support of the measure $\rho d\mu$. Define a bilinear form on $L^2(S, \rho d\mu)$ by*

$$\mathcal{E}(f, g) = \frac{1}{2} \int_S \langle \nabla f, \nabla g \rangle_H \rho d\mu, \quad f, g \in \mathcal{FC}_b^1.$$

Assume that $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{FC}_b^1)$ is closable on $L^2(S, \rho d\mu)$. Then, its closure $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$ is a quasi-regular, local, and conservative Dirichlet form on $L^2(S, \rho d\mu)$, and the following Skorokhod representation holds:

(2.1)

$$X_t = X_0 + B_t - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t X_s ds + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \sigma(X_s) dA_s, \quad t \geq 0, \quad P_w\text{-a.e. for q.e. } w.$$

Here, (X_t, P_w) is a diffusion process on S associated with $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$, $\{B_t\}$ is an E -valued Brownian motion starting at 0, $\{A_t\}$ is an additive functional in Revuz correspondence with ν , and ν and σ are provided in Theorem 2.1 (3).

Note that ν above is smooth with respect to the $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$ from [5, Theorem 3.9], which justifies the consideration of the Revuz correspondence of ν . When ρ is an indicator function, we term $\{X_t\}$ a reflecting Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process on S . In such a case, the measure ν can be regarded as a surface measure of S .

Theorem 2.3 ([5, Theorem 3.15]). *Under the conditions described in Theorem 2.2, if moreover ρ is an indicator function of a set U , then the mass of ν concentrates on the topological boundary of U .*

We remark that the original assertion of Theorem 3.15 in [5] provides more detailed information on the support of ν .

For $t > 0$, $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$, we define

$$p_t(x, y) = (2\pi t)^{-d/2} \exp\left(-\frac{|x - y|_{\mathbb{R}^d}^2}{2t}\right).$$

Fix $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^d$, and let $W_{a,b}$ and H_0 as defined in Section 1. The pinned Wiener measure $\mu_{a,b}$ on $W_{a,b}$ is a Borel probability measure such that for $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_{N+1} = 1$ and Borel sets $A_1, \dots, A_N$ of $\mathbb{R}^d$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{a,b}[w \in W_{a,b} \mid w_{t_i} - w_{t_{i-1}} \in A_i, i = 1, \dots, N] \\ = p_1(a, b)^{-1} \int \dots \int_{A_1 \times \dots \times A_N} \prod_{i=1}^{N+1} p_{t_i - t_{i-1}}(x_{i-1}, x_i) dx_1 \dots dx_N, \end{aligned}$$

where $x_0 = a$ and $x_{N+1} = b$. Then, $(W_{0,0}, H_0, \mu_{0,0})$ is an abstract Wiener space. When $(a, b) \neq (0, 0)$, $W_{a,b}$ is not a linear space. However, $W_{a,b}$ is isomorphic to $W_{0,0}$ as an affine space according to the shift map

$$\lambda_{a,b}: W_{a,b} \ni w \mapsto w - h_{a,b} \in W_{0,0},$$

where $h_{a,b}(t) = a + (b - a)t$, $t \in [0, 1]$, and $(W_{a,b}, \mu_{a,b})$ is isomorphic to $(W_{0,0}, \mu_{0,0})$ as a measure space according to the map $\lambda_{a,b}$. Therefore, by pushing everything forward to $(W_{0,0}, \mu_{0,0})$, we can define the concepts of $\mathcal{FC}_b^1$, ∇ , the BV space $BV(W_{a,b})$ etc., on $(W_{a,b}, H_0, \mu_{a,b})$. Furthermore, Theorems 2.1, 2.2, and 2.3 are valid on this space by appropriate modification.

Let Ω be a domain of $\mathbb{R}^d$. We do not assume that Ω is bounded, but assume $\Omega \neq \mathbb{R}^d$. For $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $r > 0$, $B(x, r)$ denotes the closed ball in $\mathbb{R}^d$ with center x and radius r .

Definition 2.1. We state that Ω satisfies the *uniform exterior ball condition* if there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for every $y \in \partial\Omega$, there exists $z \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \Omega$ satisfying $B(z, \delta) \cap \overline{\Omega} = \{y\}$.

For example, bounded domains with boundaries in the C^2 -class and convex domains satisfy the uniform exterior ball conditions. It may be said that this condition allows outward cusps, but not inward cusps.

We consider $W_{a,b}^\Omega$, $\partial W_{a,b}^\Omega$, and $\partial' W_{a,b}^\Omega$ as defined in Section 1. Let $\overline{W_{a,b}^\Omega} = W_{a,b}^\Omega \cup \partial W_{a,b}^\Omega$. The main theorem in this paper is as follows.

Theorem 2.4. *Assume that Ω satisfies the uniform exterior ball condition. Then, $1/\overline{W_{a,b}^\Omega} \in BV(W_{a,b})$. Further, the bilinear form $(\mathcal{E}', \mathcal{F}C_b^1)$ on $L^2(\overline{W_{a,b}^\Omega}, \mu_{a,b}|_{\overline{W_{a,b}^\Omega}})$ defined by*

$$\mathcal{E}'(f, g) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\overline{W_{a,b}^\Omega}} \langle \nabla f, \nabla g \rangle_{H_0} d\mu_{a,b}, \quad f, g \in \mathcal{F}C_b^1$$

is closable, and its closure $(\mathcal{E}', \mathcal{F}')$ is a quasi-regular, local, and conservative Dirichlet form. Moreover, when (X'_t, P'_w) denotes the diffusion process on $\overline{W_{a,b}^\Omega}$ associated with $(\mathcal{E}', \mathcal{F}')$, $(X_t, P_w) := (\lambda_{a,b}(X'_t), P'_{\lambda_{a,b}^{-1}(w)} \circ \lambda_{a,b}^{-1})$ satisfies the Skorokhod representation (2.1) with $(E, H, \mu) = (W_{0,0}, H_0, \mu_{0,0})$ and $\rho = 1_{\lambda_{a,b}(\overline{W_{a,b}^\Omega})}$. Furthermore, $\partial W_{a,b}^\Omega \setminus \partial' W_{a,b}^\Omega$ has a null capacity that is associated with $(\mathcal{E}', \mathcal{F}')$. In particular, the mass of the measure ν that corresponds to ρ in Theorem 2.1 (3) concentrates on $\lambda_{a,b}(\partial' W_{a,b}^\Omega)$.

§3. Some estimates for (pinned) Brownian motion

Subsequently, C_i denotes an insignificant positive constant and a domain Ω in $\mathbb{R}^d$ is assumed to satisfy the uniform exterior ball condition.

We define a Lipschitz function q on $\mathbb{R}^d$ by

$$q(x) = \inf_{y \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \Omega} |x - y|_{\mathbb{R}^d} - \inf_{y \in \Omega} |x - y|_{\mathbb{R}^d}.$$

For $r \geq 0$, set $\Omega_r = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d \mid q(x) > r\}$. Note that $\Omega_0 = \Omega$ and $\{q(x) \geq 0\} = \overline{\Omega}$.

Let $W = C([0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d)$. Let $\{\hat{P}_x\}_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d}$ be the probability measures on W such that the coordinate process $\{\omega_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ is a d -dimensional Brownian motion starting at x under $\hat{P}_x$ for each $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$. For $t \geq 0$, let $\hat{\mathcal{F}}_t$ be a σ -field generated by $\{\{\omega_s \in D\}; s \in [0, t], D \text{ is a Borel set of } \mathbb{R}^d\}$. Then, $\{\hat{\mathcal{F}}_t\}$ is a minimal filtration to which $\{\omega_t\}$ is adapted on the canonical measurable space $(W, \hat{\mathcal{F}}_\infty)$. For an $\{\hat{\mathcal{F}}_t\}$ -stopping time τ , define $\hat{\mathcal{F}}_\tau = \{A \in \hat{\mathcal{F}}_\infty \mid A \cap \{\tau \leq t\} \in \hat{\mathcal{F}}_t \text{ for all } t \geq 0\}$. We denote the integral with respect to $\hat{P}_x$ by $\hat{E}_x$. The shift operator $\theta_s: W \rightarrow W$ is defined by $(\theta_s \omega)_t = \omega_{s+t}$, $t \geq 0$.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $x \in \overline{\Omega}$. Choose $y \in \partial\Omega$ and $z \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \Omega$ such that $q(x) = |x - y|_{\mathbb{R}^d}$ and $B(z, \delta) \cap \overline{\Omega} = \{y\}$, where δ is provided in Definition 2.1. Let $K = (d - 1)/(2\delta)$ and $R_t = |\omega_t - z|_{\mathbb{R}^d}$ for $\omega = \{\omega_t\} \in W$. Then, for each $u > 0$,*

$$\{R_t \geq \delta \text{ for all } t \in [0, u]\} \subset \{R_t \leq q(x) + \delta + Kt + S_t \text{ for all } t \in [0, u]\}$$

up to a $\hat{P}_x$ -null set. Here, S_t is a one-dimensional Brownian motion under $\hat{P}_x$ starting at 0 that is defined by

$$S_t(\omega) = \sum_{i=1}^d \int_0^t \frac{\omega_s^{(i)} - z^{(i)}}{R_s} d\omega_s^{(i)}, \quad \omega_s = (\omega_s^{(1)}, \dots, \omega_s^{(d)}), \quad z = (z^{(1)}, \dots, z^{(d)}).$$

Proof. Define an $\{\hat{\mathcal{F}}_t\}$ -stopping time σ by $\sigma = \inf\{t \geq 0 \mid R_t = 0\}$. Note that $R_0 = |x - z|_{\mathbb{R}^d} = q(x) + \delta$ $\hat{P}_x$ -a.e. By virtue of Itô's formula,

$$R_t = q(x) + \delta + \int_0^t \frac{d-1}{2R_s} ds + S_t \quad \text{on } \{t < \sigma\} \quad \hat{P}_x\text{-a.e.}$$

Therefore, the assertion holds. $\square$

Proposition 3.1. *There exists $C_1 > 0$ such that for every $u > 0$ and $x \in \overline{\Omega}$,*

$$\hat{P}_x \left[\inf_{t \in [0, u]} q(\omega_t) \geq 0 \right] \leq C_1(1 + u^{-1/2})q(x).$$

Proof. We retain the notations in Lemma 3.1, from which

$$\hat{P}_x [R_t \geq \delta \text{ for all } t \in [0, u]] \leq \hat{P}_x [q(x) + \delta + Kt + S_t \geq \delta \text{ for all } t \in [0, u]].$$

Let $r > q(x)$ and define $\eta = \inf\{t \geq 0 \mid Kt + S_t \leq -r\}$. The law of η under $\hat{P}_x$ is given by

$$\hat{P}_x[\eta \in dt] = 1_{(0, \infty)}(t) \frac{r}{\sqrt{2\pi t^3}} \exp\left(-\frac{(r + Kt)^2}{2t}\right) dt + (1 - \exp(-2Kr))\delta_\infty(dt),$$

where δ_∞ is a delta measure at ∞ . (See, e.g., [2, p. 295].) Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{P}_x \left[\inf_{t \in [0, u]} q(\omega_t) \geq 0 \right] &\leq \hat{P}_x [R_t \geq \delta \text{ for all } t \in [0, u]] \leq \hat{P}_x [\eta > u] \\ &= \int_u^\infty \frac{r}{\sqrt{2\pi t^3}} \exp\left(-\frac{(r + Kt)^2}{2t}\right) dt + 1 - \exp(-2Kr) \\ &\leq \int_u^\infty \frac{r}{\sqrt{2\pi t^3}} dt + 2Kr = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \frac{r}{\sqrt{u}} + 2Kr. \end{aligned}$$

Letting $r \rightarrow q(x)$, we obtain the desired inequality. $\square$

For $r > 0$, define an $\{\hat{\mathcal{F}}_t\}$ -stopping time τ_r by $\tau_r = \inf\{t \geq 0 \mid \omega_t \notin \Omega_r\}$. Let $\hat{P}_x^r$ be the law of τ_r under $\hat{P}_x$.

Lemma 3.2. *$\hat{P}_x^r([0, t])$ is differentiable in t on $(0, \infty)$ and there exists a constant $C_2 > 0$ such that $\frac{d}{dt}\hat{P}_x^r([0, t]) \leq C_2 t^{-1}$. The constant C_2 is taken independently of x , r and t .*

Proof. It is sufficient to consider the case that $x \in \Omega_r$. Let $p_t^r(\cdot, \cdot)$ be the transition density of the Brownian motion of Ω_r killed at $\partial\Omega_r$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{P}_x^r([0, t]) &= \hat{P}_x[\tau_r \leq t] = 1 - \int_{\Omega_r} p_t^r(x, z) dz \\ &= 1 - \iint_{\Omega_r \times \Omega_r} p_s^r(x, y) p_{t-s}^r(y, z) dy dz\end{aligned}$$

for $0 < s < t$. From [10, Theorem 6.17], $p_{t-s}^r(y, z)$ is differentiable in t on (s, ∞) for a.e. (y, z) and the following estimate holds:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt}\hat{P}_x^r([0, t]) &\leq \iint_{\Omega_r \times \Omega_r} p_s^r(x, y) \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial t} p_{t-s}^r(y, z) \right| dy dz \\ &\leq C_3 \iint_{\Omega_r \times \Omega_r} p_s^r(x, y) (t-s)^{-d/2-1} \exp\left(-\frac{C_4|y-z|_{\mathbb{R}^d}^2}{t-s}\right) dy dz \\ &\leq C_5(t-s)^{-1} \int_{\Omega_r} p_s^r(x, y) dy \leq C_5(t-s)^{-1},\end{aligned}$$

where C_3 , C_4 and C_5 are taken independently of x , r , s and t . By letting $s = t/2$, we complete the proof. $\square$

Proposition 3.2. *There exists $C_6 > 0$ such that for all $u > 0$, $r > 0$, and $x \in \Omega$,*

$$\hat{P}_x \left[0 \leq \inf_{t \in [0, u]} q(\omega_t) \leq r \right] \leq C_6(1 + u^{-1/2})r.$$

Proof. First, let $x \in \Omega \setminus \Omega_r$. From Proposition 3.1 and the fact that $0 < q(x) \leq r$,

$$\hat{P}_x \left[0 \leq \inf_{t \in [0, u]} q(\omega_t) \leq r \right] \leq C_1(1 + u^{-1/2})q(x) \leq C_1(1 + u^{-1/2})r.$$

Next, let $x \in \Omega_r$. Then,

$$\hat{P}_x \left[0 \leq \inf_{t \in [0, u]} q(\omega_t) \leq r \right] = \hat{P}_x \left[\tau_r \leq u, 0 \leq \inf_{t \in [\tau_r, u]} q(\omega_t) \right]$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \hat{P}_x \left[\tau_r \leq u, 0 \leq \inf_{t \in [0, u - \tau_r]} q((\theta_{\tau_r} \omega)_t) \right] \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \hat{P}_x \left[2^{-k}u < u - \tau_r \leq 2^{-k+1}u, 0 \leq \inf_{t \in [0, u - \tau_r]} q((\theta_{\tau_r} \omega)_t) \right] \\
&\leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \hat{P}_x \left[2^{-k}u < u - \tau_r \leq 2^{-k+1}u, 0 \leq \inf_{t \in [0, 2^{-k}u]} q((\theta_{\tau_r} \omega)_t) \right].
\end{aligned}$$

Here, we used $\hat{P}_x[\tau_r = u] = 0$ in the third line, which follows from Lemma 3.2. From the strong Markov property and Proposition 3.1,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\hat{P}_x \left[2^{-k}u < u - \tau_r \leq 2^{-k+1}u, 0 \leq \inf_{t \in [0, 2^{-k}u]} q((\theta_{\tau_r} \omega)_t) \middle| \hat{\mathcal{F}}_{\tau_r} \right] \\
&= 1_{\{2^{-k}u < u - \tau_r \leq 2^{-k+1}u\}} \cdot \hat{P}_{\omega_{\tau_r}} \left[0 \leq \inf_{t \in [0, 2^{-k}u]} q(\omega_t) \right] \\
&\leq C_1 1_{\{2^{-k}u < u - \tau_r \leq 2^{-k+1}u\}} \cdot (1 + (2^{-k}u)^{-1/2})r \\
&\leq C_1 1_{\{2^{-k}u < u - \tau_r \leq 2^{-k+1}u\}} \cdot (1 + ((u - \tau_r)/2)^{-1/2})r.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\hat{P}_x \left[0 \leq \inf_{t \in [0, u]} q(\omega_t) \leq r \right] \\
&\leq C_1 r \hat{E}_x[1 + ((u - \tau_r)/2)^{-1/2}; \tau_r \leq u] \\
&\leq C_1 r \int_0^u \left(1 + \left(\frac{u-s}{2} \right)^{-1/2} \right) \hat{P}_x^r(ds) \\
&\leq C_1 r (1 + (u/4)^{-1/2}) \hat{P}_x[\tau_r \leq u/2] + C_1 r \int_{u/2}^u \left(1 + \left(\frac{u-s}{2} \right)^{-1/2} \right) C_2 s^{-1} ds \\
&\leq C_1 r (1 + (u/4)^{-1/2}) + \frac{2C_1 C_2 r}{u} \int_{u/2}^u \left(1 + \left(\frac{u-s}{2} \right)^{-1/2} \right) ds \\
&\leq C_6 (1 + u^{-1/2})r.
\end{aligned}$$

Here, we used Lemma 3.2 in the third line. This completes the proof. $\square$

Let $\hat{P}_{a,b}$ be a probability measure on W such that $\{\omega_t\}_{t \in [0,1]}$ is a pinned Brownian motion under $\hat{P}_{a,b}$ with $\omega_0 = a$ and $\omega_1 = b$. The following lemma is proved by the definition of $\hat{P}_{a,b}$ and the monotone class theorem.

Lemma 3.3. For $t \in [0, 1)$, $A \in \hat{\mathcal{F}}_t$, and a Borel set D of $\mathbb{R}^d$,

$$\hat{P}_{a,b}[A \cap \{\omega_t \in D\}] \leq \hat{P}_a[A \cap \{\omega_t \in D\}] \cdot \sup_{y \in D} \frac{p_{1-t}(y, b)}{p_1(a, b)}.$$

Lemma 3.4. *Let τ be an $\{\hat{\mathcal{F}}_t\}$ -stopping time and $A \in \hat{\mathcal{F}}_\tau$. Let D be an open set of $\mathbb{R}^d$. Then,*

$$\hat{P}_{a,b}[\{\tau < 1\} \cap A \cap \{\omega_\tau \in D\}] \leq \hat{P}_a[\{\tau < 1\} \cap A \cap \{\omega_\tau \in \overline{D}\}] \cdot \sup_{t \in (0,1], y \in D} \frac{p_t(y,b)}{p_1(a,b)}.$$

Here, $\overline{D}$ is a closure of D in $\mathbb{R}^d$.

Proof. Consider a sequence of $\{\hat{\mathcal{F}}_t\}$ -stopping times $\{\tau_n\}$ such that each τ_n takes only finite values of $\{t_n^{(k)}\}_{k \in \Lambda_n}$ and $\tau_n \downarrow \tau$. Here, Λ_n is an index set consisting of finite elements. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \{\tau < 1\} \cap A \cap \{\omega_\tau \in D\} &\subset \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\{\tau_n < 1\} \cap A \cap \{\omega_{\tau_n} \in D\}) \\ &\subset \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\{\tau_n < 1\} \cap A \cap \{\omega_{\tau_n} \in D\}) \\ &\subset \{\tau < 1\} \cap A \cap \{\omega_\tau \in \overline{D}\}. \end{aligned}$$

For $t \in [0, 1)$, $\{\tau_n = t\} \cap A \cap \{\omega_{\tau_n} \in D\} \in \hat{\mathcal{F}}_t$. Therefore, from Lemma 3.3,

$$\begin{aligned} &\hat{P}_{a,b}[\{\tau_n < 1\} \cap A \cap \{\omega_{\tau_n} \in D\}] \\ &= \sum_{k \in \Lambda_n, t_n^{(k)} < 1} \hat{P}_{a,b}[\{\tau_n = t_n^{(k)}\} \cap A \cap \{\omega_{\tau_n} \in D\}] \\ &\leq \sum_{k \in \Lambda_n, t_n^{(k)} < 1} \hat{P}_a[\{\tau_n = t_n^{(k)}\} \cap A \cap \{\omega_{\tau_n} \in D\}] \cdot \sup_{y \in D} \frac{p_{1-t_n^{(k)}}(y,b)}{p_1(a,b)} \\ &\leq \sum_{k \in \Lambda_n, t_n^{(k)} < 1} \hat{P}_a[\{\tau_n = t_n^{(k)}\} \cap A \cap \{\omega_{\tau_n} \in D\}] \cdot \sup_{t \in (0,1], y \in D} \frac{p_t(y,b)}{p_1(a,b)} \\ &= \hat{P}_a[\{\tau_n < 1\} \cap A \cap \{\omega_{\tau_n} \in D\}] \cdot \sup_{t \in (0,1], y \in D} \frac{p_t(y,b)}{p_1(a,b)}. \end{aligned}$$

By letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we complete the proof from Fatou's lemma. $\square$

Denote the Borel σ -field on $[0, \infty)$ by $\mathcal{B}([0, \infty))$.

Lemma 3.5. *Let τ be an $\{\hat{\mathcal{F}}_t\}$ -stopping time such that $\tau \leq 1$ and $\Gamma \subset [0, \infty) \times W$ an element in $\mathcal{B}([0, \infty)) \otimes \hat{\mathcal{F}}_\infty$. Assume that*

$$\{\tau < 1\} \cap \{((1-\tau)/2, \theta_\tau \omega) \in \Gamma\} \in \hat{\mathcal{F}}_{(1+\tau)/2}.$$

Then, for a Borel set D of $\mathbb{R}^d$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\hat{P}_{a,b}[\{\tau < 1\} \cap \{\omega_\tau \in D\} \cap \{((1-\tau)/2, \theta_\tau \omega) \in \Gamma\}] \\ &\leq \sup_{x \in D} \hat{E}_x \left[\sup_{s \in (0, 1/2]} 1_\Gamma(s, \omega) \cdot s^{-d/2} \right] \exp(|a-b|_{\mathbb{R}^d}^2/2). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let $c \in (0, 1)$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} & \hat{P}_{a,b}[\{\tau < 1\} \cap \{\omega_\tau \in D\} \cap \{((1-\tau)/2, \theta_\tau \omega) \in \Gamma\}] \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \hat{P}_{a,b}[\{c^{k+1} < 1-\tau \leq c^k\} \cap \{\omega_\tau \in D\} \cap \{((1-\tau)/2, \theta_\tau \omega) \in \Gamma\}]. \end{aligned}$$

Since $c^{k+1} < 1-\tau \leq c^k$ implies that $\tau < 1-c^{k+1}$ and $(1+\tau)/2 < 1-c^{k+1}/2$, by combining the assumption, the set in $\hat{P}_{a,b}[\dots]$ belongs to $\hat{\mathcal{F}}_{1-c^{k+1}/2}$. From Lemma 3.3 and the strong Markov property, the above equation is dominated by

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \hat{P}_a[\{c^{k+1} < 1-\tau \leq c^k\} \cap \{\omega_\tau \in D\} \cap \{((1-\tau)/2, \theta_\tau \omega) \in \Gamma\}] \\ & \quad \cdot p_1(a, b)^{-1} (\pi c^{k+1})^{-d/2} \\ & \leq \hat{E}_a[1_{\{\tau < 1\} \cap \{\omega_\tau \in D\} \cap \{((1-\tau)/2, \theta_\tau \omega) \in \Gamma\}} \cdot (\pi(1-\tau)c)^{-d/2}] \cdot p_1(a, b)^{-1} \\ & \leq \hat{E}_a \left[1_{\{\tau < 1\} \cap \{\omega_\tau \in D\}} \sup_{s \in (0, 1/2]} \left(1_\Gamma(s, \theta_\tau \omega) \cdot (2\pi s c)^{-d/2} \right) \right] \cdot p_1(a, b)^{-1} \\ & = \hat{E}_a \left[1_{\{\tau < 1\} \cap \{\omega_\tau \in D\}} \hat{E}_{\omega_\tau} \left[\sup_{s \in (0, 1/2]} 1_\Gamma(s, \omega) \cdot (2\pi s c)^{-d/2} \right] \right] \cdot p_1(a, b)^{-1} \\ & \leq \sup_{x \in D} \hat{E}_x \left[\sup_{s \in (0, 1/2]} 1_\Gamma(s, \omega) \cdot s^{-d/2} \right] \cdot c^{-d/2} \exp(|a-b|_{\mathbb{R}^d}^2/2). \end{aligned}$$

By letting $c \rightarrow 1$, we reach the conclusion. $\square$

Proposition 3.3. *There exists $C_7 > 0$ such that for every $r > 0$,*

$$(3.1) \quad \mu_{a,b} \left[w \in W_{a,b} \mid 0 \leq \inf_{t \in [0,1]} q(w(t)) \leq r \right] \leq C_7 r.$$

Proof. Let $\alpha = \min\{q(a), q(b)\}/2$. It is sufficient to prove that there exists $C_7 > 0$ such that (3.1) holds for all $r \in (0, \alpha/3)$. Choose $r \in (0, \alpha/3)$ and let $V = B(b, \alpha)$ and $V' = B(b, \alpha/2)$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mu_{a,b} \left[w \in W_{a,b} \mid 0 \leq \inf_{t \in [0,1]} q(w(t)) \leq r \right] \\ & \leq \hat{P}_{a,b}[\tau_r < 1 \text{ and } \omega_t \in \overline{\Omega} \setminus V \text{ for all } t \in [\tau_r, (\tau_r + 1)/2]] \\ & \quad + \hat{P}_{a,b} \left[\begin{array}{l} \tau_r < 1, \omega_t \in V \text{ for some } t \in [\tau_r, (\tau_r + 1)/2], \\ \text{and } \omega_t \in \overline{\Omega} \text{ for all } t \in [\tau_r, (\tau_r + 1)/2] \end{array} \right] \\ & =: I_1 + I_2. \end{aligned}$$

For I_1 , Lemma 3.4 with $\tau = \min\{(\tau_r + 1)/2, 1\}$ implies

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= \hat{P}_{a,b}[\tau_r < 1, \omega_t \in \overline{\Omega} \setminus V \text{ for all } t \in [\tau_r, \tau], \text{ and } \omega_\tau \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus V'] \\ &\leq \hat{P}_a[\tau_r < 1, \omega_t \in \overline{\Omega} \setminus V \text{ for all } t \in [\tau_r, \tau]] \cdot \sup_{t \in (0,1], y \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus V'} \frac{p_t(y, b)}{p_1(a, b)}. \end{aligned}$$

Now,

$$\sup_{t \in (0,1], y \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus V'} \frac{p_t(y, b)}{p_1(a, b)} \leq p_1(a, b)^{-1} \sup_{t \in (0,1]} (2\pi t)^{-d/2} \exp\left(-\frac{(\alpha/2)^2}{2t}\right) \leq C_8$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &\hat{P}_a[\tau_r < 1, \omega_t \in \overline{\Omega} \setminus V \text{ for all } t \in [\tau_r, \tau]] \\ &\leq \hat{P}_a[\tau_r < 1, (\theta_{\tau_r} \omega)_t \in \overline{\Omega} \text{ for all } t \in [0, (1 - \tau_r)/2]] \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \hat{P}_a[2^{-k} < 1 - \tau_r \leq 2^{-k+1}, (\theta_{\tau_r} \omega)_t \in \overline{\Omega} \text{ for all } t \in [0, 2^{-k-1}]]. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} &\hat{P}_a[2^{-k} < 1 - \tau_r \leq 2^{-k+1}, (\theta_{\tau_r} \omega)_t \in \overline{\Omega} \text{ for all } t \in [0, 2^{-k-1}] \mid \hat{\mathcal{F}}_{\tau_r}] \\ &= 1_{\{2^{-k} < 1 - \tau_r \leq 2^{-k+1}\}} \cdot \hat{P}_{\omega_{\tau_r}}[\omega_t \in \overline{\Omega} \text{ for all } t \in [0, 2^{-k-1}]] \\ &\leq 1_{\{2^{-k} < 1 - \tau_r \leq 2^{-k+1}\}} \cdot C_1(1 + 2^{(k+1)/2})r \\ &\leq 1_{\{2^{-k} < 1 - \tau_r \leq 2^{-k+1}\}} \cdot C_1(1 + 2(1 - \tau_r)^{-1/2})r \end{aligned}$$

from the strong Markov property and Proposition 3.1,

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &\leq C_8 C_1 r \hat{E}_a[1_{\{\tau_r < 1\}} \cdot (1 + 2(1 - \tau_r)^{-1/2})] \\ &\leq C_8 C_1 r (1 + 2\sqrt{2}) \hat{P}_a[\tau_r \leq 1/2] + C_8 C_1 r \int_{1/2}^1 (1 + 2(1 - s)^{-1/2}) \cdot C_2 s^{-1} ds \\ &\leq C_9 r, \end{aligned}$$

by virtue of Lemma 3.2.

We will estimate a value for I_2 . From Lemma 3.5 with $\tau = \min\{\tau_r, 1\}$, $D = \partial\Omega_r$, and

$$\Gamma = \left\{ (s, \omega) \in [0, \infty) \times W \left| \begin{array}{l} \omega_t \in V \text{ for some } t \in [0, s] \text{ and} \\ \omega_t \in \overline{\Omega} \text{ for all } t \in [0, s] \end{array} \right. \right\},$$

we obtain

$$I_2 \leq C_{10} \sup_{x \in \partial\Omega_r} \hat{E}_x \left[\sup_{s \in (0, 1/2]} 1_\Gamma(s, \omega) \cdot s^{-d/2} \right].$$

By letting $f(\omega) = \sup_{s \in (0, 1/2]} 1_\Gamma(s, \omega) \cdot s^{-d/2}$, we have

$$(3.2) \quad I_2 \leq C_{10} \sup_{x \in \partial\Omega_r} \hat{E}_x[f] = C_{10} \sup_{x \in \partial\Omega_r} \int_0^\infty \hat{P}_x[f > u] du.$$

Let $x \in \partial\Omega_r$, and define y, z, K, R_t , and S_t as in Lemma 3.1. We have $|x - z|_{\mathbb{R}^d} = \delta + r \in (\delta, \delta + \alpha)$ and $|b - z|_{\mathbb{R}^d} \geq \delta + q(b) \geq \delta + 2\alpha$. Define the stopping times with respect to the canonical augmentation of $\{\hat{\mathcal{F}}_t\}$ by $\{\hat{P}_x\}_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d}$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \rho &= \inf\{t \geq 0 \mid R_t \notin [\delta, \delta + \alpha)\}, \\ \rho' &= \inf\{t \geq 0 \mid r + \delta + Kt + S_t \notin [\delta, \delta + \alpha)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\omega_t \in V$ implies $R_t \geq |b - z|_{\mathbb{R}^d} - \alpha \geq \delta + \alpha$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\hat{P}_x[f > u] \\ &\leq \hat{P}_x[(s, \omega) \in \Gamma \text{ for some } s < u^{-2/d}] \\ &\leq \hat{P}_x[\rho < u^{-2/d} \text{ and } R_\rho = \delta + \alpha] \\ &\leq \hat{P}_x[\rho' < u^{-2/d} \text{ and } r + \delta + K\rho' + S_{\rho'} = \delta + \alpha] \quad (\text{from Lemma 3.1}) \\ &\leq \hat{E}_x[\exp(1 - u^{2/d}\rho'); r + K\rho' + S_{\rho'} = \alpha] \\ &= e^{1+K(\alpha-r)} \sinh\left(r\sqrt{2u^{2/d} + K^2}\right) / \sinh\left(\alpha\sqrt{2u^{2/d} + K^2}\right). \quad (\text{cf. [2, p. 309]}) \end{aligned}$$

Since $(v/4)e^{v/2} \leq \sinh v \leq ve^v$ for $v \geq 0$, the above term is dominated by

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{e^{1+K(\alpha-r)} r \sqrt{2u^{2/d} + K^2} \exp\left(r\sqrt{2u^{2/d} + K^2}\right)}{(\alpha/4)\sqrt{2u^{2/d} + K^2} \exp\left(\alpha\sqrt{2u^{2/d} + K^2}/2\right)} \\ &= 4\alpha^{-1} e^{1+K(\alpha-r)} r \exp\left((r - \alpha/2)\sqrt{2u^{2/d} + K^2}\right) \\ &\leq 4\alpha^{-1} e^{1+K\alpha} r \exp(-\sqrt{2}\alpha u^{1/d}/6). \end{aligned}$$

Substituting this estimate into (3.2), we obtain $I_2 \leq C_{11}r$. This completes the proof. $\square$

§4. Proof of Theorem 2.4

In this section, we prove Theorem 2.4. We retain the notations in the previous sections. We will utilize the following theorem.

Theorem 4.1 ([3]). *Let F be a measurable function on $W_{a,b}$ and H_0 -Lipschitz; in other words, there exists $C > 0$ such that*

$$|F(w+h) - F(w)| \leq C|h|_{H_0}, \quad w \in W_{a,b}, \quad h \in H_0.$$

Then, if $\int_{W_{a,b}} F^2 d\mu_{a,b} < \infty$, F belongs to $\mathbb{D}^{1,2}$. Here, $\mathbb{D}^{1,2}$ is a first order L^2 -Sobolev space on $W_{a,b}$ that is defined as the completion of $\mathcal{FC}_b^1$ with respect to the norm $(\|\nabla \cdot\|_{L^2(W_{a,b} \rightarrow H_0, \mu_{a,b})}^2 + \|\cdot\|_{L^2(W_{a,b}, \mu_{a,b})}^2)^{1/2}$. Moreover, $|\nabla F(\omega)|_{H_0} \leq C$ $\mu_{a,b}$ -a.e.

From Proposition 3.3, for any $r > 0$,

$$\mu_{a,b} \left[\overline{W_{a,b}^\Omega} \setminus W_{a,b}^\Omega \right] = \mu_{a,b} \left[\inf_{s \in [0,1]} q(w(s)) = 0 \right] \leq C_7 r.$$

Therefore, $\mu_{a,b} \left[\overline{W_{a,b}^\Omega} \setminus W_{a,b}^\Omega \right] = 0$. By combining this with the remark in [4, p. 230], the bilinear form $(\mathcal{E}', \mathcal{FC}_b^1)$ is closable on $L^2(\overline{W_{a,b}^\Omega}, \mu_{a,b}|_{\overline{W_{a,b}^\Omega}})$, and the closure $(\mathcal{E}', \mathcal{F}')$ is a quasi-regular, local, and conservative Dirichlet form. In particular, we obtain the diffusion process (X'_t, P'_x) on $\overline{W_{a,b}^\Omega}$ associated with $(\mathcal{E}', \mathcal{F}')$.

Next, we prove that $1_{\overline{W_{a,b}^\Omega}} \in BV(W_{a,b})$. Define $F(w) = \inf_{t \in [0,1]} q(w(t))$ for $w \in W_{a,b}$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we define $f_n(s) = \min\{\max\{0, ns\}, 1\}$ for $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\rho_n(w) = f_n(F(w))$ for $w \in W_{a,b}$. Then, since $W_{a,b}^\Omega = \{F(w) > 0\}$, we obtain $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho_n = 1_{\overline{W_{a,b}^\Omega}}$ $\mu_{a,b}$ -a.e. and in $L^1(W_{a,b}, \mu_{a,b})$. Therefore, from Theorem 2.1, it is sufficient to prove $\sup_n \|\nabla \rho_n\|_{L^1(W_{a,b} \rightarrow H_0, \mu_{a,b})} < \infty$. We note that $q(x)$ is a Lipschitz function on $\mathbb{R}^d$ with Lipschitz constant 1; thus, we obtain the following estimate for $w \in W_{a,b}$ and $h \in H_0$

$$\begin{aligned} |F(w+h) - F(w)| &= \left| \inf_{t \in [0,1]} q(w(t) + h(t)) - \inf_{t \in [0,1]} q(w(t)) \right| \\ &\leq \sup_{t \in [0,1]} |q(w(t) + h(t)) - q(w(t))| \\ &\leq \sup_{t \in [0,1]} |h(t)| \leq |h|_{H_0}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, F is H_0 -Lipschitz continuous. From Theorem 4.1, we deduce that $F \in \mathbb{D}^{1,2}$ and $|\nabla F|_{H_0} \leq 1$ $\mu_{a,b}$ -a.e.

Now, we use the chain rule of H_0 -derivative to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla \rho_n\|_{L^1(W_{a,b} \rightarrow H_0, \mu_{a,b})} &\leq \|n 1_{\{0 \leq F \leq 1/n\}} |\nabla F|_{H_0}\|_{L^1(W_{a,b}, \mu_{a,b})} \\ &\leq n \mu_{a,b} \left[0 \leq \inf_{t \in [0,1]} q(w(t)) \leq \frac{1}{n} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

According to Proposition 3.3, $\sup_n \|\nabla \rho_n\|_{L^1(W_{a,b} \rightarrow H_0, \mu_{a,b})} < \infty$.

By virtue of Theorem 2.2, the process $X_t := \lambda_{a,b}(X'_t)$ satisfies the Skorokhod equation (2.1).

Next, we will prove $\text{Cap}(\partial W_{a,b}^\Omega \setminus \partial' W_{a,b}^\Omega) = 0$, where Cap denotes the capacity associated with $(\mathcal{E}', \mathcal{F}')$. When $w \in \partial W_{a,b}^\Omega \setminus \partial' W_{a,b}^\Omega$, there exist at least two points $t \in (0, 1)$ such that $w(t) \in \partial\Omega$. Therefore,

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{aligned} & \partial W_{a,b}^\Omega \setminus \partial' W_{a,b}^\Omega \\ & \subset \bigcup_{\substack{0 < s_1 < s_2 < 1 \\ s_1, s_2 \in \mathbb{Q}}} \left\{ w \in W_{a,b} \left| \inf_{t \in [0, s_1]} q(w(t)) = 0, \inf_{t \in [s_1, s_2]} q(w(t)) = 0 \right. \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

For $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ and $s_1, s_2 \in (0, 1)$ with $s_1 < s_2$, we define

$$A_{s_1, s_2, (\alpha, \beta)} = \left\{ w \in W_{a,b} \left| \inf_{t \in [0, s_1]} q(w(t)) = \alpha, \inf_{t \in [s_1, s_2]} q(w(t)) = \beta \right. \right\}.$$

The right-hand side of (4.1) is rewritten as $\bigcup_{0 < s_1 < s_2 < 1, s_1, s_2 \in \mathbb{Q}} A_{s_1, s_2, (0, 0)}$. For a subset G of $\mathbb{R}^2$, we denote $\bigcup_{(\alpha, \beta) \in G} A_{s_1, s_2, (\alpha, \beta)}$ by $A_{s_1, s_2, G}$.

Fix $s_1, s_2 \in (0, 1)$ with $s_1 < s_2$. We define a map $f: W_{a,b} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ by

$$f(w) = \left(\inf_{t \in [0, s_1]} q(w(t)), \inf_{t \in [s_1, s_2]} q(w(t)) \right).$$

We denote an open ball in $\mathbb{R}^2$ with its center at 0 and radius r by $B(r)$. By the continuity of f , $A_{s_1, s_2, B(r)}$ is an open neighborhood of $A_{s_1, s_2, (0, 0)}$ in $W_{a,b}$.

Take $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\lambda \in (0, 1)$. We choose a smooth function g on $[0, \infty)$ such that

$$g(t) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } t \in [0, \lambda\varepsilon), \\ \frac{3 \log(t/\varepsilon)}{\log \lambda} - 1 & \text{for } t \in (\lambda^{5/9}\varepsilon, \lambda^{4/9}\varepsilon), \\ 0 & \text{for } t \in (\varepsilon, \infty), \end{cases}$$

and $3(t \log \lambda)^{-1} \leq g'(t) \leq 0$ for all $t \in (0, \infty)$. We define a function $\zeta: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $\zeta(x, y) = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2}$ and set $\iota = g \circ \zeta$. Since $\iota \circ f$ is a bounded H_0 -Lipschitz continuous function, it belongs to $\mathbb{D}^{1,2}$ — to $\mathcal{F}'$ in particular — by virtue of Theorem 4.1. Moreover, $\iota \circ f = 1$ on $A_{s_1, s_2, B(\lambda\varepsilon)}$. Denoting the gradient operator on $\mathbb{R}^2$ by $\nabla_{\mathbb{R}^2}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}'(\iota \circ f, \iota \circ f) &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{W_{a,b}^\Omega} |\nabla(\iota \circ f)|_{H_0}^2 d\mu_{a,b} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{W_{a,b}^\Omega} |\langle (\nabla f)(w), (\nabla_{\mathbb{R}^2} \iota)(f(w)) \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^2}|_{H_0}^2 \mu_{a,b}(dw) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq C_{12} \int_{W_{a,b}^\Omega} |(\nabla_{\mathbb{R}^2} \iota)(f(w))|_{\mathbb{R}^2}^2 \mu_{a,b}(dw) \\
&= C_{12} \int_{\{(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 | x \geq 0, y \geq 0\}} |\nabla_{\mathbb{R}^2} \iota|_{\mathbb{R}^2}^2 d(f_*(\mu_{a,b}|_{\overline{W_{a,b}^\Omega}})) \\
&=: I_3.
\end{aligned}$$

Here, $f_*(\mu_{a,b}|_{\overline{W_{a,b}^\Omega}})$ denotes the image measure of $\mu_{a,b}|_{\overline{W_{a,b}^\Omega}}$ by f . In the second line, $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^2}$ denotes a pairing between the elements in $H_0 \otimes \mathbb{R}^2$ and in $\mathbb{R}^2$ and has values in H_0 . The inequality from the second line to the third follows from the fact that f is H_0 -Lipschitz continuous. Now,

$$\begin{aligned}
|\nabla_{\mathbb{R}^2} \iota|_{\mathbb{R}^2}^2 &= (\partial \iota / \partial x)^2 + (\partial \iota / \partial y)^2 \\
&= (g' \circ \zeta(x, y))^2 \frac{x^2}{x^2 + y^2} + (g' \circ \zeta(x, y))^2 \frac{y^2}{x^2 + y^2} \\
&= (g' \circ \zeta(x, y))^2.
\end{aligned}$$

By considering $\xi = (\zeta \circ f)_*(\mu_{a,b}|_{\overline{W_{a,b}^\Omega}})$, we obtain

$$I_3 = C_{12} \int_0^\infty g'(r)^2 \xi(dr) \leq 9C_{12} \int_{\lambda_\varepsilon}^\varepsilon (\log \lambda)^{-2} r^{-2} \xi(dr) =: I_4.$$

From Lemma 3.3, the Markov property of $(\omega_t, \hat{P}_x)$ and Proposition 3.2,

$$\begin{aligned}
\Xi(r) &:= \xi([0, r]) = (f_*(\mu_{a,b}|_{\overline{W_{a,b}^\Omega}}))(\zeta^{-1}([0, r])) \\
&= \mu_{a,b} \left[w \in \overline{W_{a,b}^\Omega} \left| \inf_{t \in [0, s_1]} q(w(t))^2 + \inf_{t \in [s_1, s_2]} q(w(t))^2 \leq r^2 \right. \right] \\
&\leq \mu_{a,b} \left[w \in \overline{W_{a,b}^\Omega} \left| 0 \leq \inf_{t \in [0, s_1]} q(w(t)) \leq r, 0 \leq \inf_{t \in [s_1, s_2]} q(w(t)) \leq r \right. \right] \\
&\leq \hat{P}_a \left[0 \leq \inf_{t \in [0, s_1]} q(\omega_t) \leq r, 0 \leq \inf_{t \in [s_1, s_2]} q(\omega_t) \leq r \right] \cdot \frac{p_{1-s_2}(b, b)}{p_1(a, b)} \\
&= C_{13} \hat{E}_a \left[1_{\{0 \leq \inf_{t \in [0, s_1]} q(\omega_t) \leq r\}} \hat{P}_{\omega_{s_1}} \left[0 \leq \inf_{t \in [0, s_2 - s_1]} q(\omega_t) \leq r \right] \right] \\
&\leq C_{14} (1 + (s_2 - s_1)^{-1/2}) r \hat{P}_a \left[0 \leq \inf_{t \in [0, s_1]} q(\omega_t) \leq r \right] \\
&\leq C_{15} (1 + (s_2 - s_1)^{-1/2}) (1 + s_1^{-1/2}) r^2 = C_{16} r^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
I_4 &= 9C_{12} \int_{\lambda\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon} (\log \lambda)^{-2} \frac{1}{r^2} d\Xi(r) \\
&= 9C_{12} (\log \lambda)^{-2} \left\{ \left[\frac{\Xi(r)}{r^2} \right]_{\lambda\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon} + \int_{\lambda\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon} \frac{2\Xi(r)}{r^3} dr \right\} \\
&\leq C_{17} (\log \lambda)^{-2} \left(1 + \int_{\lambda\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon} \frac{1}{r} dr \right) \\
&= C_{17} (\log \lambda)^{-2} (1 - \log \lambda).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Cap}(A_{s_1, s_2, (0,0)}) &\leq \text{Cap}(A_{s_1, s_2, B(\lambda\varepsilon)}) \\
&\leq \mathcal{E}'(\iota \circ f, \iota \circ f) + \|\iota \circ f\|_{L^2(\overline{W_{a,b}^\Omega}, \mu|_{\overline{W_{a,b}^\Omega})}}^2 \\
&\leq \mathcal{E}'(\iota \circ f, \iota \circ f) + \Xi(\varepsilon) \\
&\leq C_{17} (\log \lambda)^{-2} (1 - \log \lambda) + C_{16} \varepsilon^2.
\end{aligned}$$

By letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ and $\lambda \rightarrow 0$, we obtain $\text{Cap}(A_{s_1, s_2, (0,0)}) = 0$. Therefore,

$$\text{Cap}(\partial W_{a,b}^\Omega \setminus \partial' W_{a,b}^\Omega) \leq \sum_{0 < s_1 < s_2 < 1, s_1, s_2 \in \mathbb{Q}} \text{Cap}(A_{s_1, s_2, (0,0)}) = 0.$$

The last claim follows from the above result and Theorem 2.3, and the fact that ν is a smooth measure. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.4.

§5. Concluding remarks

- (1) In a similar and simpler way, we can prove the counterpart of Theorem 2.4 that concerns the one-sided pinned path space on Ω ; this theorem was proved in [11]. More precisely, we define the path spaces as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
W_a &= \{w \in C([0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d) \mid w(0) = a\}, \\
W_a^\Omega &= \{w \in C([0, 1] \rightarrow \Omega) \mid w(0) = a\}, \\
H &= \left\{ h \in C([0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d) \left| \begin{array}{l} h(0) = 0, \text{ } h \text{ is absolutely continuous} \\ \text{and } \int_0^1 |\dot{h}(s)|_{\mathbb{R}^d}^2 ds < \infty \end{array} \right. \right\}, \\
\partial W_a^\Omega &= \left\{ w \in W_a \left| \begin{array}{l} w(t) \in \overline{\Omega} \text{ for every } t \in [0, 1] \text{ and} \\ w(s) \in \partial\Omega \text{ for some } s \in (0, 1] \end{array} \right. \right\}, \\
\partial' W_a^\Omega &= \left\{ w \in \partial W_a^\Omega \left| \begin{array}{l} \text{there exists a unique } s \in (0, 1] \\ \text{such that } w(s) \in \partial\Omega \end{array} \right. \right\}, \\
\overline{W_a^\Omega} &= W_a^\Omega \cup \partial W_a^\Omega.
\end{aligned}$$

Let μ_a be the probability measure on W_a that is the law of the d -dimensional Brownian motion starting at a . Then, we can prove the claim that is modified by replacing $W_{a,b}$, $W_{a,b}^\Omega$, $\mu_{a,b}$, $W_{0,0}$, $\mu_{0,0}$, H_0 , and $\lambda_{a,b}$ in Theorem 2.4 by W_a , W_a^Ω , μ_a , W_0 , μ_0 , H , and $\lambda_a: W_a \ni w \mapsto w - a \in W_0$, respectively. Also, from [9, Theorem 4.4], $BV(W_0) \cap \bigcap_{q>1} L^q(W_0, \mu_0) \subset \mathbb{D}^{\alpha,p}$ if $p > 1$ and $\alpha p < 1$, where $\mathbb{D}^{\alpha,p}$ is a Sobolev space on W_0 with differentiability index α and integrability index p according to the Malliavin calculus. Therefore, we obtain the following theorem, which is a generalization of a part of the results of [1].

Theorem 5.1. *Assume that $0 \in \Omega$ and Ω satisfies the uniform exterior ball condition. Then, $\mu_0(\partial W_0^\Omega) = 0$ and $1_{W_0^\Omega} \in BV(W_0)$. Moreover, for any real numbers α and p such that $p > 1$ and $\alpha p < 1$, the function $1_{W_0^\Omega}$ belongs to $\mathbb{D}^{\alpha,p}$.*

- (2) Precisely speaking, the diffusion process associated with $(\mathcal{E}', \mathcal{F}')$ should be called the *modified* reflecting Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process as in [4, 5], since $\mathcal{F}'$ is defined as the completion of $\mathcal{F}_b^1$ and may be strictly smaller than the “canonical” first order L^2 -Sobolev space $H^1(W_{a,b}^\Omega)$. When $\mathcal{F}'$ is equal to $H^1(W_{a,b}^\Omega)$ remains an open problem; a partial answer is provided in [8].

References

- [1] Airault, H. and Zhang, X., Smoothness of indicator functions of some sets in Wiener spaces, *J. Math. Pures Appl.* (9) **79** (2000), 515–523.
- [2] Borodin, A. N. and Salminen, P., *Handbook of Brownian motion—facts and formulae*, 2nd ed., Probability and its Applications, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2002.
- [3] Enchev, O. and Stroock, D. W., Rademacher’s theorem for Wiener functionals, *Ann. Probab.*, **21** (1993), 25–33.
- [4] Fukushima, M., BV functions and distorted Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes over the abstract Wiener space, *J. Funct. Anal.*, **174** (2000), 227–249.
- [5] Fukushima, M. and Hino, M., On the space of BV functions and a related stochastic calculus in infinite dimensions, *J. Funct. Anal.*, **183** (2001), 245–268.
- [6] Fukushima, M., Oshima, Y., and Takeda, M., *Dirichlet forms and symmetric Markov processes*, de Gruyter Studies in Mathematics, **19**, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, 1994.
- [7] Hariya, Y., Integration by parts formulae for Wiener measures restricted to subsets in $\mathbb{R}^d$, *J. Funct. Anal.*, **239** (2006), 594–610.
- [8] Hino, M., On Dirichlet spaces over convex sets in infinite dimensions, in *Finite and infinite dimensional analysis in honor of Leonard Gross (New Orleans, LA, 2001)*, 143–156, Contemp. Math., **317**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2003.
- [9] Hino, M., Integral representation of linear functionals on vector lattices and its application to BV functions on Wiener space, in *Stochastic Analysis and Related Topics in Kyoto in honour of Kiyosi Itô*, 121–140, Advanced Studies in Pure Mathematics, **41**, 2004.

- [10] Ouhabaz, E. M., *Analysis of heat equations on domains*, London Mathematical Society Monographs Series, **31**, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2005.
- [11] Uchida, H., Reflecting Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes on path spaces (in Japanese), Master thesis in Kyoto University, 2006.
- [12] Zambotti, L., Integration by parts formulae on convex sets of paths and applications to SPDEs with reflection, *Probab. Theory Related Fields*, **123** (2002), 579–600.